

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,518	09/11/2003	Yixin Guo		3613
1	7590 03/23/2004		EXAMINER	
Yixin Guo 256 Holbrook Avenue			HANSEN, JAMES ORVILLE	
Ronkonkoma,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			, W×			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(1)(1)			
ė	10/659,518	GUO, YIXIN				
Coffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James O. Hansen	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	9SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comn BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	,					
	action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· ·	• • •	' '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau 	•	received in this National Sta	age			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* ***	received.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) The Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/659,518

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The claims are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lombardo [U.S. Patent No. 5,289,839]. Lombardo (figures 1-7) teaches of an umbrella structure for releasing wind comprising: A few dozens of holes (note the cross-section of one hole as depicted in fig. 2 the figures depict the umbrella in a cross-sectional view and therefore do not show the inherent plurality of these wind venting structures) on the cloth (10) of the umbrella surface; A few dozens of sleeve-shaped wind-release channels (52f for example); the sleeve-shaped channel has two ends, a big end (close

to the hole) and a small end (distal end of channel opposite the hole); wherein the hole and sleeve-shaped channel are connected (fig. 2 e.g.,), both are evenly distributed around the handle (30) on the surface of the umbrella. The diameter of the holes may vary in size according to the wind power [the holes have an inherent elasticity – as best understood by the examiner]. The small end of the sleeve-shaped channel has a curved finish (note fig. 2 – top depiction), such design is to prevent the rain water from leaking into the wind-release channel while releasing wind all the way from the hole through the channel to the small end of the sleeve-shaped channel. The big end of the channel is seamlessly affixed to the hole [in as much as applicant depicts the claimed feature] on the umbrella surface. The ratio size between the big and small ends of the channel is small enough to permit the channel to lie parallel to the umbrella surface. As to the method of connecting the channel,

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Johnson et al., German publication 4115467, Noel, Ryder et al., Morales and Kupferman describe wind resistant umbrellas.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James O. Hansen whose telephone number is 703-305-7414. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,518 Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James O. Hansen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

JOH March 19, 2004